TABLE 8: 2015 BENCHMARKING-PROMOTIONS FOR FULL-TIME FACULTY

| Medical School | Promotions to Associate Professor |  |  | Promotions to Full Professor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  |
|  | N | N | \% | N | N | \% |
| ALABAMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama * | 39 | 12 | 31\% | 31 | 17 | 55\% |
| South Alabama | 10 | 4 | 40\% | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
| ARIZONA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| ARKANSAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas * | 24 | 12 | 50\% | 11 | 4 | 36\% |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loma Linda * | 3 | 1 | 33\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| Southern Cal-Keck ** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Stanford* | 25 | 6 | 24\% | 19 | 5 | 26\% |
| UC Davis * | 27 | 7 | 26\% | 24 | 3 | 13\% |
| UC Irvine * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| UC San Diego * | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 4 | 67\% |
| UC San Francisco * | 79 | 43 | 54\% | 71 | 29 | 41\% |
| UCLA-Geffen * | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado * | 89 | 42 | 47\% | 41 | 14 | 34\% |
| CONNECTICUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut * | 9 | 3 | 33\% | 4 | 1 | 25\% |
| Yale** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| George Washington * | 16 | 10 | 63\% | 13 | 7 | 54\% |
| Georgetown * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Howard* | 5 | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| FLORIDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIU-Wertheim * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Florida * | 26 | 4 | 15\% | 18 | 5 | 28\% |
| Florida Atlantic-Schmidt | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Florida State * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Miami-Miller ** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| UCF | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| USF-Morsani * | 84 | 40 | 48\% | 49 | 14 | 29\% |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emory ** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MC Georgia Augusta | 14 | 2 | 14\% | 7 | 3 | 43\% |
| Mercer* | 3 | 1 | 33\% | 4 | 3 | 75\% |
| Morehouse | 8 | 6 | 75\% | 3 | 3 | 100\% |
| HAWAII |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hawaii-Burns * | 7 | 6 | 86\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chicago Med-Franklin | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| Chicago-Pritzker | 29 | 12 | 41\% | 18 | 5 | 28\% |
| Illinois | 20 | 9 | 45\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% |
| Loyola-Stritch * | 14 | 4 | 29\% | 8 | 1 | 13\% |
| Northwestern-Feinberg * | 39 | 15 | 38\% | 24 | 8 | 33\% |
| Rush * | 17 | 7 | 41\% | 6 | 3 | 50\% |
| Southern Illinois | 10 | 5 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| INDIANA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana | 22 | 7 | 32\% | 16 | 8 | 50\% |
| IOWA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lowa-Carver | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| KANSAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas* | 32 | 18 | 56\% | 5 | 1 | 20\% |
| KENTUCKY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | 13 | 4 | 31\% | 5 | 1 | 20\% |
| Louisville | 20 | 9 | 45\% | 15 | 3 | 20\% |
| LOUISIANA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LSU New Orleans * | 19 | 6 | 32\% | 5 | 3 | 60\% |
| LSU Shreveport | 10 | 5 | 50\% | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
| Tulane * | 0 | 0 | NA | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
| MARYLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Johns Hopkins * | 77 | 34 | 44\% | 29 | 10 | 34\% |
| Maryland | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Uniformed Services-Hebert * | 46 | 10 | 22\% | 17 | 3 | 18\% |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston * | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
| Harvard* | 118 | 40 | 34\% | 50 | 10 | 20\% |
| Massachusetts* | 20 | 7 | 35\% | 17 | 3 | 18\% |
| Tufts * | 25 | 13 | 52\% | 10 | 3 | 30\% |

TABLE 8: 2015 BENCHMARKING—PROMOTIONS FOR FULL-TIME FACULTY

| Medical School | Promotions to Associate Professor |  |  | Promotions to Full Professor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Women |  | Total | Women |  |
|  | N | N | \% | N | N | \% |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | 37 | 18 | 49\% | 25 | 9 | 36\% |
| Michigan State | 7 | 2 | 29\% | 7 | 3 | 43\% |
| Oakland Beaumont * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Wayne State | 15 | 6 | 40\% | 18 | 5 | 28\% |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mayo * | 73 | 20 | 27\% | 61 | 23 | 38\% |
| Minnesota * | 23 | 8 | 35\% | 24 | 7 | 29\% |
| MISSISSIPPI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi * | 21 | 10 | 48\% | 16 | 8 | 50\% |
| MISSOURI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri Columbia | 12 | 3 | 25\% | 5 | , | 20\% |
| Missouri Kansas City | 14 | 9 | 64\% | 11 | 2 | 18\% |
| Saint Louis | 23 | 11 | 48\% | 9 | 4 | 44\% |
| Washington U St Louis * | 44 | 21 | 48\% | 33 | 12 | 36\% |
| NEBRASKA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Creighton * | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Nebraska | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| NEVADA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada* | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dartmouth-Geisel | 18 | 6 | 33\% | 8 | 1 | 13\% |
| NEW JERSEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooper Rowan * | 8 | 6 | 75\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| Rutgers New Jersey * | 9 | 3 | 33\% | 8 | 2 | 25\% |
| Rutgers-RW Johnson | 8 | 1 | 13\% | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| NEW MEXICO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Mexico | 32 | 13 | 41\% | 16 | 6 | 38\% |
| NEW YORK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albany | 15 | 6 | 40\% | 9 | 4 | 44\% |
| Buffalo-Jacobs * | 15 | 9 | 60\% | 16 | 5 | 31\% |
| Columbia * | 22 | 10 | 45\% | 16 | 4 | 25\% |
| Cornell-Weill * | 38 | 15 | 39\% | 27 | 5 | 19\% |
| Einstein | 50 | 23 | 46\% | 10 | 2 | 20\% |
| Hofstra Northwell | 17 | 3 | 18\% | 13 | 5 | 38\% |
| Mount Sinai-Icahn* | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| New York Medical * | 13 | 2 | 15\% | 5 | 0 | 0\% |
| New York University | 27 | 7 | 26\% | 10 | 2 | 20\% |
| Rochester | 47 | 17 | 36\% | 30 | 12 | 40\% |
| SUNY Downstate | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| SUNY Upstate * | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Stony Brook * | 9 | 2 | 22\% | 7 | 3 | 43\% |
| NORTH CAROLINA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duke ** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| East Carolina-Brody * | 11 | 6 | 55\% | 5 | 1 | 20\% |
| North Carolina * | 35 | 18 | 51\% | 17 | 7 | 41\% |
| Wake Forest * | 32 | 16 | 50\% | 18 | 4 | 22\% |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | NA | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| OHIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Case Western Reserve | 36 | 12 | 33\% | 29 | 4 | 14\% |
| Cincinnati | 47 | 16 | 34\% | 30 | 12 | 40\% |
| Northeastern Ohio * | 6 | 4 | 67\% | 6 | 0 | 0\% |
| Ohio State ** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Toledo * | 6 | 1 | 17\% | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| Wright State-Boonshoft | 5 | 4 | 80\% | 4 | 2 | 50\% |
| OKLAHOMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 21 | 13 | 62\% | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon* | 51 | 21 | 41\% | 32 | 10 | 31\% |
| PENNSYLVANIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commonwealth | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Drexel * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Jefferson-Kimmel | 29 | 11 | 38\% | 12 | 2 | 17\% |
| Penn State | 13 | 6 | 46\% | 6 | 2 | 33\% |
| Pennsylvania-Perelman | 53 | 22 | 42\% | 34 | 14 | 41\% |
| Pittsburgh * | 46 | 15 | 33\% | 26 | 9 | 35\% |
| Temple-Katz * | 19 | 10 | 53\% | 8 | 2 | 25\% |

TABLE 8: 2015 BENCHMARKING—PROMOTIONS FOR FULL-TIME FACULTY

| Medical School | Promotions to Associate Professor |  |  | Promotions to Full Professor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> N | Women |  | Total <br> N | Women |  |
|  |  | N | \% |  | N | \% |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caribe ** | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Ponce* | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Puerto Rico | 15 | 7 | 47\% | 9 | 5 | 56\% |
| San Juan Bautista * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| RHODE ISLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown-Alpert * | 14 | 6 | 43\% | 12 | 3 | 25\% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MU South Carolina * | 29 | 14 | 48\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% |
| South Carolina * | 5 | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| South Carolina Greenville * | 12 | 5 | 42\% | 6 | 0 | 0\% |
| SOUTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota-Sanford * | 3 | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| TENNESSEE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Tennessee-Quillen * | 8 | 2 | 25\% | 7 | 2 | 29\% |
| Meharry | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Tennessee | 18 | 8 | 44\% | 11 | 3 | 27\% |
| Vanderbilt * | 66 | 33 | 50\% | 23 | 5 | 22\% |
| TEXAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baylor * | 36 | 17 | 47\% | 17 | 4 | 24\% |
| Texas A \& M | 6 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
| Texas Tech | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Texas Tech-Foster * | 3 | 1 | 33\% | 4 | 3 | 75\% |
| UT HSC San Antonio * | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| UT Houston-McGovern * | 31 | 13 | 42\% | 11 | 2 | 18\% |
| UT Medical Branch * | 12 | 7 | 58\% | 13 | 4 | 31\% |
| UT Southwestern * | 46 | 15 | 33\% | 24 | 8 | 33\% |
| UTAH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utah * | 41 | 19 | 46\% | 24 | 8 | 33\% |
| VERMONT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vermont | 14 | 7 | 50\% | 11 | 1 | 9\% |
| VIRGINIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Virginia | 11 | 7 | 64\% | 7 | 2 | 29\% |
| Virginia * | 33 | 14 | 42\% | 13 | 4 | 31\% |
| Virginia Commonwealth * | 17 | 5 | 29\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% |
| Virginia Tech Carilion * | 7 | 2 | 29\% | 0 | 0 | NA |
| WASHINGTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U Washington | 60 | 26 | 43\% | 45 | 17 | 38\% |
| WEST VIRGINIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marshall-Edwards | 4 | 1 | 25\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| West Virginia * | 14 | 4 | 29\% | 3 | 2 | 67\% |
| WISCONSIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MC Wisconsin * | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Wisconsin * | 46 | 14 | 30\% | 34 | 12 | 35\% |
| 2015 TOTAL | 2,579 | 1,047 | 41\% | 1,487 | 480 | 32\% |
| 2015 AVERAGE \# | 20 | 8 | 41\% | 12 | 4 | 33\% |
| 2009 AVERAGE \# | 18 | 7 | 36\% | 12 | 3 | 27\% |

## Notes

The table shows counts and percentages of full-time faculty who were promoted at a medical school during calendar year 2015.
Data are reported to the Faculty Roster voluntarily on a rolling basis by medical schools throughout the year and thus reflect school-to-school differences in timeliness and consistency of reporting. As a result, comprehensive counts of promotions in calendar year 2015 could not be calculated for all medical schools. For those medical schools whose Faculty Roster data are not comprehensive through December 31, 2015, counts and percentages of promotions for calendar year 2014 are reported.
For those medical schools whose Faculty Roster data are not comprehensive through December 31, 2014, no data are reported

* Promotions for calendar year 2014 are reported for these medical schools because comprehensive data are not available for calendar year 2015.
** Comprehensive data on faculty promotions are not available for these medical schools for calendar year 2014 nor calendar year 2015.
NA indicates that the data are not available or that the percentage could not be calculated due to a zero in the denominator
\# The average percentages are calculated from the percentages of all schools and are not adjusted for the number of faculty per school.
The table does not include faculty with unreported gender ( $n=4$ ).
Some percentages in this table are less than $0.5 \%$ and therefore display as $0 \%$ when rounded. In these cases, the actual percentages were used in calculating the 2015 Average.
As an example of how to interpret this table, the $40 \%$ figure for South Alabama under Promotions to Associate Professor indicates that of all the full-time faculty at the University of South Alabama College of Medicine who promoted to associate professor in calendar year 2015, 40\% were women
Source: AAMC Faculty Roster, July 31, 2016 snapshot.

